Geotechnical Engagement Sessions

Information for Proponents
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# Purpose

The following information has been prepared to help extractive industry applicants enrol in geotechnical engagement sessions with Earth Resources Regulation, during the work plan application or variation phase.

Geotechnical engagement sessions aim to reduce change requests by providing greater clarity upfront and address industry feedback that they often feel ‘stuck in an endless loop’.

# What are geotechnical risks?

Geotechnical risks at an extractive industry site are defined as those risks associated with ground movements in or around a quarry. Ground movements may vary from minor to significant (such as subsidence or natural rebound) or catastrophic (such as slope failure). For further guidance on geotechnical risks and the process of preparing geotechnical information for a work plan, see the [Geotechnical guideline for terminal and rehabilitated slopes: extractive industry projects](https://earthresources.vic.gov.au/__data/assets/pdf_file/0012/598539/Geotechnical-guideline-Sept-2020.pdf).

# When is participation recommended?

Whether a geotechnical engagement session is required or not is at the discretion of the industry applicant. Industry applicants should first reference the relevant guidelines to establish if the advice required is available therein. In all cases, the reasoning for requesting an engagement session is to be clearly documented in the pre-engagement checklist for review by Earth Resources Regulation.

Note: Earth Resources Regulation also recommends uptake of these sessions in instances where an independent geotechnical engineer (“competent person”) has not yet been engaged, and industry would benefit from talking through the investigation and assessment requirements in order to decide a path of progression.

# What is the process for setting up a geotechnical engagement session?

Earth Resources Regulation recommends booking the first consult early on. Booking the sessions early in the process may be beneficial for determining required geotechnical investigations, including, for example, considerations around appropriate long term stability controls. Geotechnical engagements can be preceded or followed by an initial site meeting. Section 2.3.1 of [Preparation of work plans and work plan variations – guideline for extractive industry projects](https://earthresources.vic.gov.au/__data/assets/pdf_file/0010/458605/Preparation-of-Work-Plans-and-Work-Plan-Variations-Guideline-for-Extractive-Industry-Projects.pdf) outlines further information regarding sequencing of the application process that may be useful to industry applicants.

Note: The geotechnical engagement is where the proponent and their geotechnical advisors, Earth Resources Regulation and relevant co-regulators and local authorities will meet to discuss the site-specific conditions, potential risks and issues, together with the planning, work plan and other legislative requirements. Certain aspects of site geotechnical characteristics may be discussed at this meeting; however, the majority of analysis will occur outside of this session as more information is collected and understood, and the geotechnical assessment report is prepared.

The key steps for engaging with Earth Resources Regulation are as follows:

1. To book a geotechnical engagement session, the proponent should first contact Earth Resources Regulation Technical Services: [TechnicalServices.err@ecodev.vic.gov.au](mailto:TechnicalServices.err@ecodev.vic.gov.au), including a brief description of the scope and list of required attendees.
2. You will receive return correspondence from the responsible officer and be required to complete the engagement checklist as the basis for identifying more detailed inputs to the meeting agenda.
3. Upon completion and receipt of the checklist, the responsible officer will liaise with the industry applicant to discuss a suitable day, time and required attendees.
4. Once the day and time are confirmed, the industry applicant can progress with agenda preparation, aligned to the checklist, and issue to attendees. Earth Resources Regulation requests that the agenda be received at least 1-week prior to the formal meeting date.
5. Following the meeting(s), the proponent is to write up the agreed outcomes of the geotechnical engagement using the minutes template and share with Earth Resources Regulation for all parties’ record keeping. The outcome of each consultation will be saved in RRAM and referred to during evaluation of the geotechnical assessment report.

If and when a subsequent meeting is required, the proponent again notifies Technical Services, and the above process is repeated.

# What is expected of participants?

Earth Resources Regulation requests that the following ‘rules of engagement’ be observed by industry applicants:

Unless absolutely necessary, we request that all engagement sessions be held via the MS Teams platform to facilitate spread of attendees across Victoria (unless already meeting face-to-face on the same day, for example, at the initial site meeting);

Please include your qualified geotechnical engineer in the consultation sessions, to maximise the depth of discussion and for provision of technical support. Earth Resources Regulation is committed to collaborating on the mitigation of risks and issues, however, will not be playing a subject matter expert role in place of a suitable, independent geotechnical engineer. Earth Resources Regulation will not provide technical advice, but rather assess whether the proponent is following relevant technical guidelines and industry best practices. Therefore, engaging with Earth Resources Regulation under this process does not provide a guarantee that the application will be successful upon formal assessment. We will also not be reviewing or signing off geotechnical assessment reports pre-submission of the work plan package;

Proponents complete the engagement checklist ahead of both the initial engagement session and any subsequent sessions required. This ensures a focussed, issues-based agenda;

Earth Resources Regulation can help provide direction on expectations in relation to a risk or issue (aligned to guidelines or best practice), however, the technical composition of mitigating strategies should be addressed outside of the relevant session. We will be reviewing previous engagement checklists, agendas and minutes to ensure items are not revisited across meetings, to ensure Technical Services’ involvement remains strictly in a strategic capacity and avoid relitigating previous issues;

We request that the proponent be responsible for completion of both the agenda and minutes and issue to attendees for record keeping; and

Earth Resources Regulation recommends up to three sessions, however, encourages proponent discretion in booking as many or as little sessions as required. In each instance, the pre-engagement checklist needs to be re-completed and an outline of what has changed since the previous engagement needs to be provided.

*References:*

[Geotechnical guideline for terminal and rehabilitated slopes: extractive industry projects](https://earthresources.vic.gov.au/__data/assets/pdf_file/0012/598539/Geotechnical-guideline-Sept-2020.pdf)

[Preparation of work plans and work plan variations – guideline for extractive industry projects](https://earthresources.vic.gov.au/__data/assets/pdf_file/0010/458605/Preparation-of-Work-Plans-and-Work-Plan-Variations-Guideline-for-Extractive-Industry-Projects.pdf)

[Guidance Note on Reportable Events for Mineral and Extractive Operations](https://earthresources.vic.gov.au/legislation-and-regulations/guidelines-and-codes-of-practice/reportable-events)

# Appendices

## Appendix A: Geotechnical Engagement Checklist

This checklist is part of the overall **geotechnical engagement pack**. It will assist in simplifying the work plan geotechnical assessment process, with reduction in back-and-forth resulting in likely cost and time savings.

Please complete this checklist according to the risks and issues you wish to discuss during your geotechnical engagement session. Providing this information in advance of the meeting will enable Earth Resources Regulation to provide targeted and tailored advice in areas most relevant to your application.

Once complete, please return to the contact identified in the relevant email from Earth Resources Regulation:

| Issue type (tick if applicable) | Description of specific issue and ERR guidance sought  (Add space as required) |
| --- | --- |
| **Lack of geotechnical or geological data to inform geotechnical assessment (geological/geotechnical investigations to consider)** |  |
| **Applicable Design Acceptance Criteria to use for terminal and rehabilitated slopes** |  |
| **Understanding of hydrogeological conditions** (e.g. visible signs of seepage or discharge, pore pressure behind high walls, surface water management systems, long term groundwater level) |  |
| **Surcharge loading** |  |
| **Slimes dams and/or other water structures** |  |
| **Impact on Existing infrastructure** (i.e. property or services adjacent to both the crest and toe of the slope, both external and located on site) |  |
| **Proximity of dams, dumps and voids** |  |
| **Waste dump stability** |  |
| **Proximity of sensitive receptors** |  |
| **Slope stability management controls** |  |
| **Dispersive soils and clays** |  |
| **Surface water and long term erosion controls** |  |
| **Geotechnical Risk Assessment** |  |
| **Rehabilitation considerations** |  |
| **Requirement for Ground Control Management Plan** |  |

Please use the space below to describe any other risks or issues you wish to discuss with Technical Services:

| Description of specific issue and scope of ERR guidance sought (add space as required) |
| --- |
| [In addition to the above, I would like to discuss X……….]  [I am seeking the following clarification or guidance from ERR…….] |

**Completed by:** [Your name, Company & site details]

**Date:** [XX/XX/XXXX]

## Appendix B – Agenda Template: Work plan geotechnical engagement sessions

This agenda template is part of the overall geotechnical engagement pack. It will assist in simplifying the work plan geotechnical assessment process, with reduction in back-and-forth resulting in likely cost and time savings.

The below template is to be used as a standard agenda for the geotechnical engagement sessions. Fields are populated with examples to aid completion by the proponent.

Please complete the agenda and issue to attendees, following submission of the pre-engagement checklist to Earth Resources Regulation and confirmation of its completeness. The checklist is critical to give an indication of the risks and issues requiring discussion, including scope of Earth Resources Regulation advice required.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Subject:** | Geotechnical engagement with ERR - session #1 | | |
| **Date:** | 6 April 2022 | **Time:** | 12.00 p.m. - 1.00. p.m. |
| **Location:** | Online (MS Teams) | | |
| **Meeting number:** | **1** | | |
| **Company & site:** | E.g. Extractives Pty Ltd., Nar Nar Goon (site #X) | | |
| **Minute-taker:** | E.g. John Johnson, Extractives Pty Ltd. | | |

| Attendees (Name, Organisation) | Apologies (Name, Organisation) |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

| **#** | **Item detail** | **Owner** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | Acknowledgement of Country | ERR Technical Services |
| 2 | Current status of the geotechnical assessment process | Proponent/Independent Geotechnical Engineer |
| 3 | Specific risks or issues [description below to be populated based on pre-engagement checklist completed by proponent]:  E.g. slime dams and/or other waste structure;  Waste dump stability  Geotechnical risk assessment | Proponent/Independent Geotechnical Engineer |
| 4 | Detailed discussion on risks & issues:  Alignment to guidelines and best practice  Action required | Independent Geotechnical Engineer/ERR Technical Services |
| 5 | Next steps/submission timelines/meeting administration  Summary of meeting outcomes | Proponent/Independent Geotechnical Engineer |

# Appendix C: Minutes Template

This minute template is part of the overall **geotechnical engagement pack**. It will assist in simplifying the work plan geotechnical assessment process, with reduction in back-and-forth resulting in likely cost and time savings.

The below template is to be used as a standard meeting record for the geotechnical engagement sessions between industry applicants and Earth Resources Regulation. Fields are populated with examples to aid completion by the proponent and/or their independent geotechnical engineer.

Please complete this meeting record and issue to all invitees. This record will be used by Earth Resources Regulation to ensure items are not revisited in future engagement sessions – a reminder that these meetings are for the purpose of strategic direction, not technical composition of individual report elements.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Subject: | Geotechnical engagement session - session #1 | | |
| Date held: | 6 April 2022 | Time held: | 12.00 p.m. - 1.00 p.m. |
| Location: | Online (MS Teams) | | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Meeting number: | **1** |
| Company & Site: | E.g. Extractives Pty Ltd., Nar Nar Goon (site #X) |
| Minute-taker: | E.g. John Johnson, Extractives Pty Ltd. |

| Attendees (Name, Organisation) | Apologies (Name, Organisation) |
| --- | --- |
| [Responsible minute taker to populate this list based on actual attendance at the meeting. Add or delete rows based on attendance.] | [Responsible minute taker to pre-populate this list based on actual attendance at the meeting] |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

| Summary of Key Discussion Points |
| --- |
| [Insert in this row high level of summary of why the engagement session was called. What was the key risk/issue and objective sought?] |
| **Issue #1: [Describe high level category of risk or issue]**  [Provide particulars of the issues noted by ERR and the strategy for resolving the risk or issue going forward in the work plan application] |
| **Issue #2: [Describe high level category of risk or issue]**  [Provide particulars of the issues noted by ERR and the strategy for resolving the risk or issue going forward in the work plan application] |
| **Issue #3: [Describe high level category of risk or issue]**  [Provide particulars of the issues noted by ERR and the strategy for resolving the risk or issue going forward in the work plan application]  [Add or remove rows to this table depending on the number of risks and issues discussed under the agenda] |

| Summary of Meeting Outcomes | | |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Issue # | Action | Post-meeting update (as applicable) |
| 1 | [Summarise actions aligned to risks or issues discussed above as per the agenda]. | [Describe progress made against agreed action undertaken by industry to resolve] |
| X | [Summarise actions aligned to risks or issues discussed above as per the agenda]. | [Describe progress against agreed action undertaken by industry to resolve] |
| X | [Summarise actions aligned to risks or issues discussed above as per the agenda].  [Add or remove rows as appropriate tailored to the number of risks/issues and associated actions discussed]. | [Describe progress against agreed action undertaken by industry to resolve] |

### WORKED EXAMPLES – DELETE BEFORE ISSUING MINUTES

| Summary of Key Discussion Points |
| --- |
| [Insert in this row high level of summary of why the engagement session was called. What was the key risk/issue and objective sought?]  E.g. this meeting was scheduled to discuss 1) proposal for expansion areas, 2) terminal slope angle and 3) ground instability for the site WA123, to enable progression of the geotechnical assessment report as part of the work plan variation. |
| **Issue #1: Expansion areas**  Extractives Pty Ltd. (‘Extractives’) described its intent to complete a cell expansion (cell 6) to the west of the current extraction area, within the buffer area. ERR noted that this is contradictory to the approved planning permit conditions (Condition 6a of the amended planning permit, dated 2005). Condition 6a noted that no extraction works shall occur on the land within 100 metres to the east of Browns Road and any other areas shown as a buffer to the excavation area on the endorsed plans. As such, ERR noted that a landscape buffer needs to be planted and maintained to the satisfaction of the Responsible Authority. |
| **Issue #2: Batter slope angle and size - terminal slopes:**  Extractives sought to test whether the terminal slope angle designed for met the relevant guidelines and conditions. From the discussion, ERR recognised that there was a discrepancy between the terminal slope configuration for the eastern slopes of cell 5 and that specified in the planning permit (condition 6a) amended August 2005. Planning Permit condition 6a states that the batter slopes on the quarry adjacent to the South East Water channel be kept to 1V:2H or flatter. The terminal batters, as per the drawings put forward by the geotechnical engineer, shows the terminal batters at 3V:1H for the southern and northern barriers of Cell 5. |
| **Issue #3: ground instability:**  Extractives sought to test whether its performance measures for ensuring compliance to design of all rehabilitated quarry faces, embankments and dumps are sufficient. ERR noted the following:  Need to provide further details on how a stable batter will be established in the long term with water present in the pit.  ERR also sought to understand how much movement is acceptable in the long term and the frequency of ‘routine inspection’.  Extractives needs to consider how the geotechnical testing of the site materials will be applied to confirm performance measures against design compliance. Similarly the proponent needs to consider how geological and structural mapping and stability assessments will be applied to demonstrate performance measures against design compliance.  With regards to site inspection before and after significant rainfall events, the definition of ‘significant’ rainfall needs to be better defined. For example, a 1 in 10-year rainfall event, 1 in 100-year rainfall event etc.? ERR suggested consulting available information from the Bureau of Meteorology as a starting point to defining ‘significance’: [Design Rainfalls: Water Information: Bureau of Meteorology (bom.gov.au)](http://www.bom.gov.au/water/designRainfalls/index.shtml). The proponent needs to be able to demonstrate in the report that the frequency of inspections is adequate to manage erosion and sedimentation issues. |

| Summary of Meeting Outcomes | | |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Issue # | Action | Post-meeting update (as applicable) |
| 1 | Review proposal for expansion area (cell 6) and ensure that the conditions of planning permit and buffer zone requirements are observed. | Actioned XX/XX, reflected in updated report. |
| 2 | Update design of terminal slopes on the eastern slope of cell 5 to be consistent with the relevant planning permit. Extractives Pty Ltd. to further review the [geotechnical guideline for terminal and rehabilitated slopes – extractive industry projects](https://earthresources.vic.gov.au/__data/assets/pdf_file/0012/598539/Geotechnical-guideline-Sept-2020.pdf). | Actioned XX/XX, reflected in updated report |
| 3 | Update description of control measures and performance measures for ground stability to ensure fundamental principles noted by ERR are included. | Actioned XX/XX, reflected in updated report |